CASE OF SHORT DURATION OF SYNCOPE AFTER 8 MONTHS OF ANGIOPLASTY, TICAGRELOR WAS CULPRIT
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ABSTRACT
Dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin and P2Y12 platelet receptor inhibitor is a cornerstone of treatment in acute coronary syndrome (ACS). Ticagrelor is a novel, potent, direct P2Y12 antagonist with rapid onset of action and intense, consistent platelet reactivity inhibition. In patients with ACS ticagrelor was superior to clopidogrel in decreasing major adverse cardiac events. Ticagrelor is an antiplatelet agent prescribed to prevent the development of adverse cardiac events after acute coronary syndrome (ACS). According to the PLATO trial, ticagrelor is associated with ventricular pauses in the first week of treatment; however, these episodes were felt to be asymptomatic and nonfatal to the patient. We present a case of ticagrelor related second-degree type II heart block causing severe dizziness and diaphoresis that resolved after discontinuation of the medication. Our aim is to increase awareness of ticagrelor related bradycardia or syncope and focus on its prevention.
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INTRODUCTION
Amongst the P2Y12 platelet receptor inhibitors, the use of clopidogrel is hampered by the slow and variable transformation of the prodrug to the active metabolite, modest and variable platelet inhibition, an increased risk of bleeding, and an increased risk of stent thrombosis,[3] Prasugrel, a thienopyridine prodrug, has good inhibitory effect on platelets and has lower risk of myocardial infarction and stent thrombosis, but it is associated with a higher risk of major bleeding in patients.[1] It is mandatory to start a patient with ACS with or without ST-segment elevation on dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin and a P2Y12 platelet receptor inhibitor.[2] Ticagrelor, a reversible and direct-acting oral antagonist of the adenosine diphosphate receptor P2Y12, was found to provide faster and more efficacious P2Y12 inhibition than clopidogrel with no increased bleeding risk.[3] According to the PLATO trial, which established superiority of ticagrelor over clopidogrel in preventing major cardiovascular adverse events, there was increased incidence of ventricular pause and dyspnea with ticagrelor in the first week of treatment compared to those receiving clopidogrel (5.8% versus 3.6%, resp.). But such episodes were concluded to be infrequent or the same as clopidogrel at 30 days (2.1% versus 1.7%, resp., for ticagrelor and clopidogrel; and were rarely associated with symptoms.[4] We present a case of second-degree type II heart block due to ticagrelor which was diagnosed 6 months after initial percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) of left circumflex artery done for unstable angina. This case report will also add to the existing literature about incidences of symptomatic atrioventricular block (AV block) that can occur with ticagrelor.

CASE REPORT
53-year-old male with history of coronary artery disease (CAD) status after angioplasty of left anterior descending (LAD) artery 8 months ago presented with chest heaviness. He was euglycemic, hypertensive, ex-smoker and His cardiac enzymes were not elevated and electrocardiogram showed normal sinus rhythm at a rate of 62 bpm with borderline AV conduction delay (Figure 1).

Figure 1: ECG showing borderline AV conduction delay and right bundle branch block (RBBB) pattern.
The patient was taking aspirin 75mg twice daily, ticagrelor 90 mg twice daily and had discontinued his statin due to muscle ache and metoprolol because of fatigue. His chest pain was relieved with sublingual nitroglycerin in the ER. In view of his significant history of CAD and typical anginal symptoms, patient underwent cardiac catheterization. It revealed 90 percent stenosis of the left mid circumflex coronary artery which was successfully stented with a drug-eluting stent. Right coronary artery (RCA) showed 50–60% stenosis while LAD showed patent stent. The patient recovered very well. After calculating the GRACE score, the patient was discharged on aspirin and ticagrelor as per the ACC guidelines. He was also prescribed rosuvastatin and metoprolol. Two months after PCI, the patient presented to his primary cardiologist due to worsening fatigue and intermittent dizziness. His metoprolol was stopped. In Sept, 2016, he was brought by emergency squad to the hospital due to worsening dizziness and diaphoresis at rest. He denied any h/o chest pain, palpitations, nausea, or loss of consciousness. The patient had stopped ticagrelor 1 day prior to this admission since he ran out of it.

The patient requested a second opinion on this admission. On physical examination, his blood pressure was 126/66 mmHg and heart rate was regular at 42 beats per minute. The neck was supple with no carotid bruits and cardiac auscultation revealed 2/6 ejection systolic murmur in the left sternal border. Patient’s EKG revealed second-degree type II atrioventricular (AV) block which was new (Figure 2). His cardiac enzymes, thyroid stimulating hormone, serum potassium, and magnesium were within normal range. The patient did not receive ticagrelor further during the admission. Cardiac catheterization revealed RCA stenosis of 60–70% and placed a temporary pacemaker for 36 hrs into Right Ventricle showed Xray chest (Figure 3) but no intervention was required as this was not the cause of the new onset heart block. We considered starting patient on oral theophylline on admission had the heart block not resolved within 24 hours.

His second-degree heart block resolved 2 days after discontinuation of ticagrelor (Figure 4). Clopidogrel was started as an alternative P2Y12 platelet receptor inhibitor and aspirin was continued. He was discharged after an event monitor was placed.

DISCUSSION

Ticagrelor, an oral reversibly binding P2Y12 inhibitor, provides more potent and consistent inhibition of platelet aggregation than clopidogrel. In the PLATO (Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes) study of 18,624 patients with acute coronary syndromes (ACS), ticagrelor was superior to clopidogrel, significantly reducing the primary composite endpoint of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, or stroke.[1] In the DISPERSE-2 (Dose Confirmation Study Assessing Anti-Platelet Effects of AZD6140 vs Clopidogrel in Non-ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction 2) trial, a phase IIb dose-ranging study in patients with ACS, 2 doses of ticagrelor (90 or 180 mg twice daily) were compared with clopidogrel in 990 patients. Continuous electrocardiographic (cECG) recording started at randomization and lasting for a median of 4 days after the index hospitalization was performed with the objective of detecting recurrent ischemia. A post hoc analyses of cardiac arrhythmias revealed an unexpected increased in the incidence of predominately asymptomatic ventricular pauses in patients treated with ticagrelor compared with those treated with clopidogrel.[2]
Because of the increased incidence of ventricular pauses observed in DISPERSE-2, the PLATO study included a prospectively designed cECG assessment, with the goal of including 3,000 patients who would have 7-day cECG recording initiated at the time of randomization during their hospitalization for ACS (visit 1 or week 1). Two thousand of these patients were then to have another 7-day cECG assessment during the ambulatory convalescent phase, 1 month after randomization (visit 2 or 1 month).\(^5\) The objectives of the cECG assessment were to determine whether ticagrelor increased the risk of ventricular pauses and whether these pauses were associated with any clinical bradyarrhythmic events.

In PLATO, a pre-specified list of preferred adverse event (AE) terms was chosen to identify any other potential AEs that could be related to a bradyarrhythmic event. Investigators in the PLATO study reported symptomatic AEs that were possibly bradyarrhythmic in nature (e.g., AV block, sinus pauses, sick sinus syndrome, syncope, unexplained accidents, and sudden death) as AEs of special interest using a dedicated case report form for possible bradyarrhythmic events. All pacemaker use (permanent and temporary) was recorded. Information about the suspected etiology of syncope AEs (including results of diagnostic investigations) and the reasons for pacemaker insertion (including results of diagnostic investigations) were also collected on the bradyarrhythmic event page.

According to the PLATO trial, ventricular pauses associated with ticagrelor are believed to be of no clinical significance. But recent noticeable surge in case reports about severe symptomatic bradyarrhythmia with high grade AV block necessitates need for a well-structured larger study to investigate this potentially life threatening adverse effect of ticagrelor. A case control study conducted in 140 cases and 560 controls identified during the period of April 2012 to March 2014 found no significant association between bradyarrhythmia and exposure to ticagrelor relative to clopidogrel in the previous 90 days prior to the index date.\(^9\) But more data exuding from larger studies would be useful to strengthen such findings.

The mechanism of bradyarrhythmia due to ticagrelor is not well established. Ticagrelor inhibits cellular uptake of adenosine and thus increases its plasma concentration which can cause AV blocking effect. It is also thought to affect the cardiac automaticity and conduction.\(^6,7\) Prior case reports have emphasized the tendency of developing high grade AV blocks with ticagrelor in patients with preexisting conduction defect and in those on medications with AV nodal blocking properties. Ticagrelor is already under the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) scanner for a potential serious AV block as reported in the FAERS database, October 4th, 2016. Our patient also had a first-degree AV block at baseline and was on metoprolol which was discontinued in spite of which he developed Mobitz type II block 6 months after PCI which was completely new. His clinical and electrocardiogram findings improved only after discontinuation of ticagrelor.

There is contradictory view about the need to place permanent pacemaker in patients with high grade AV block due to ticagrelor. Some authors have reported that they needed to implant permanent dual chamber pacemaker in their patient 10 days after discontinuation of ticagrelor since the patient was symptomatic.\(^8\) While others have reported that second-degree AV block returned to first degree on merely discontinuing ticagrelor. Routine use of temporary or permanent pacemaker is not indicated unless patient is symptomatic.\(^9\) In our case, we discontinued the ticagrelor and patient showed significant improvement in his symptoms. Insertion of temporary or permanent pacemaker was not required.

**CONCLUSION**

Ticagrelor compared with clopidogrel increased the incidence of ventricular pauses on ECG monitoring, predominately via SA node suppression, during the first week after hospitalization for ACS. Extreme caution and close monitoring for development of heart block are needed after initiation of ticagrelor, especially in patients with preexisting conduction defect or on AV nodal blocking agent. Beta blockers may not be the only reason for such cases of symptomatic bradycardia or high grade AV block. Ticagrelor should be considered as the possible offending agent. Other P2Y12 platelet receptor inhibitors such as clopidogrel or prasugrel are suitable alternatives if the patient is at risk for development of a potentially life threatening heart block.
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