

FREQUENCY OF HOSPITAL ACQUIRED PNEUMONIA AND ITS
MICROBIOLOGICAL ETIOLOGY IN MEDICAL INTENSIVE CARE UNITDr. Fareeha Tanveer^{*1}, Dr. Zumar Khalid² and Dr Sara Rashid³¹PMDC # 83014-P.²PMDC # 83026-P.³PMDC # 89627-P.

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Fareeha Tanveer

PMDC # 83014-P.

Article Received on 20/12/2017

Article Revised on 10/01/2018

Article Accepted on 31/01/2018

ABSTRACT

Objective: The objectives were to assess the frequency of hospital acquired pneumonia (HAP) in patients admitted to intensive care unit (ICU) and to determine the frequencies of different etiological organisms in these patients.

Methods: This was descriptive cross sectional study, which was carried out in medical ICU of Shifa International Hospital Islamabad from January 2013 to January 2014. A total of 1866 patients were admitted in the department of medicine including medical ICU. They were evaluated for HAP and the causative organisms were cultured from these patients. Identification was carried out by standard biochemical profile of the organisms. **Results:** The total number of patients admitted in medical ICU for any reason were 346. HAP was diagnosed in 88 patients (25.4%). The average age of patients admitted in Medical ICU with HAP was 48 years with the range of 16 to 82 years. 56 were male and 32 females. 42 patients (47.7%) died in medical ICU with HAP. Microbiological analysis showed that *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were 27 (30.6%), *Acinetobacter spp.* were 12 (13.6%), *Candida albicans* were 12 (13.6%), *Klebsiellapneumoniae* were 9 (10.2%), *Streptococcus spp.* were 9 (10.2%), *Escherichia coli* were 5 (5.6%), *Stenotrophomonas spp.* were 4(4.5%), *Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus* (MRSA) were 4 (4.5%) others organisms 6 (6.8%). **Conclusion:** The frequency of HAP in Medical ICU of our hospital is 88 out of 346 (25.4%). The commonest organism identified was *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (30.6%) followed by *Acinetobacter* and *Candida albican* (13.6% each).

KEY WORDS: Hospital acquired pneumonia, Causative agents, Intensive care unit, Prevalence, HAP.

INTRODUCTION

Hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP) is one of the most frequent and most severe medical complications in patients which are hospitalized in intensive care units. It develops mainly in association with invasive airway management and mechanical ventilation.

HAP is the infection of lung parenchyma that develops 48 hours after hospital admission.^[1,2] HAP represents a major cause of mortality, morbidity and resources utilization in hospitalized patients, most notably in those with severe underlying conditions in ICU.^[1,3-6]

The incidence of HAP in the ICU had been stable in the ranges from 9–24% with variation relating to the intensive care and differences in the definitions and diagnostic techniques used.^[7] The incidence of HAP ranges from five to more than 20 cases per 1000 patients admitted in hospital.^[1,8] HAP has the highest rates observed in the elderly, those receiving enteral feeding through a nasogastric tube, immunocompromised hosts, and surgical patients. About one-third of HAP develop in

ICU, with Ventilator Acquired Pneumonia (VAP) accounting for 90% of cases. Ventilator Associated Pneumonia occurs in 9–40% of intubated patients and represents the most frequent ICU-acquired infection.^[9-11]

The pooled incidence density of VAP ranges from two to 16 episodes per 1000 days on ventilator.^[11,12] The incidence of VAP peaks between day 5 and day 9 of mechanical ventilation and the cumulative incidence is almost proportional to mechanical ventilation duration.^[13,14]

Of all HAP, bacteria such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter*, *Klebsiellapneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Serratia marcescens* and *Proteus* species are the most frequently isolated pathogens causing nosocomial infections. Pathogenesis can be caused by aspiration or inhalation of aerosolized particles containing the bacteria. Colonization of gram negative bacteria in the pharynx, increased gastric pH and contaminated equipment are the major sources of pathogenesis.^[15]

The rationale of this study was to find out the current frequency of HAP in our population. These studies are the usual method used to identify local identification of microbiological agents causing pneumonia and developing guidelines for the use of antibiotics in intensive care unit.

METHODS

A total of 1866 patients were admitted in the department of medicine including medical ICU from January 2013 to January 2014. They were evaluated for HAP and the causative organisms were cultured from these patients. Total 88 Medical ICU patients out of 346 admissions fulfilled the criteria of HAP and the causative organisms affecting these patients are discussed in this study.

Microbiological Processing

Tracheal aspirate (TA): We added an equal volume of Sputasol (Dithiothreitol) to the specimen and digest the sputum by mixing on vortex mixer for 20-30 seconds. Incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes. When digestion was completed it was diluted 100 µl (0.1 ml) of the digested sputum into 9.9 ml of ¼ strength Ringers Solution (sterile) and was mixed properly. From this diluted well mixed sputum, 10 µl was transferred in each plate of Chocolate agar, Sheep Blood Agar, & MacConkey agar (MAC). Sabouraud's dextrose agar (SDA) was used for fungal culture before adding of sputasol (digestion). Each colony on the plate was equal to 10,000 cfu/mL.

Interpretation: Any count of $\geq 10^5$ was considered as significant. Therefore, growth of 5 or more than 5 colonies was identified and reported.

Broncho-Alveolar Lavage: Sample was Vortex for 30 seconds from which smear was prepared on clean glass slide for gram stain. Remaining vortexed sample was inoculated on Sheep Blood Agar, Chocolate, & MacConkey agar using 0.001 loops.

Interpretation: Any count of $\geq 10^4$ is considered as significant. Therefore, growth of 10 or more than 10 colonies is identified and reported. Chocolate & Sheep Blood Agar were incubated in CO₂ incubator and MacConkey in atmospheric incubator at 37 °C for 24-48 hrs.

Culture examination: After 24-48 hours growth was observed and their morphology was done by gram staining. The organisms were further identified by biochemical tests.^[16] HAP was identified by using the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) definition as follow:^[17]

1. **Radiological Signs of the definition of clinical diagnosis of HAP are:** >2 serial chest radiographs with at least one of the following:
 - (a) New or progressive & persistent infiltrate.
 - (b) Consolidation.
 - (c) Cavitation.

2. **Clinical Signs of the definition of clinical diagnosis of HAP are: At least one of the following:** (a) Fever (Temperature > 38.0 without other recognized cause). (b) Leukopenia ($<4000/\text{mm}^3$ or $>12000/\text{mm}^3$). (c) For adults > 70 years of age, altered mental status with no other recognized cause and at least two of the following (i) New onset of purulent sputum, change in character of sputum, increased respiratory secretions or increased suctioning requirement. (ii) New onset or worsening cough or dyspnea or tachypnea. (iii) Rales or bronchial breath sounds. (iv) Worsening gas exchange (e.g. oxygen desaturation ratio ($\text{PaO}_2\text{-FiO}_2$) < 240 , increase oxygen requirement, increase ventilation demand).

Statistical analysis was done using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 17.0. Frequencies and percentages were obtained for categorical variables.

HAP in patients admitted to Intensive Care Unit

All ethical considerations and obligations were duly addressed and the study was conducted after approval of ethical committee.

RESULTS

Total 1866 patients were admitted in medicine department for any reason. Out of them 346 were admitted in medical ICU. Out of Medical ICU admissions 188 were admitted with lower respiratory tract infection. Total 88 Medical ICU patients out of 346 admissions fulfilled the criteria of HAP (25.4%). (Table-I). The average age of patients admitted in Medical ICU with HAP was 48 years with the range of 16 years to 82 years. 56 were male and 32 females. Patients died in medical ICU with HAP were 42 (47.7%). Microbiological analysis showed that *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were 27 (30.6%), *Acinetobacter* spp. were 12 (13.6%), *Candida albicans* were 12 (13.6%), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* were 9 (10.2%), *Streptococcus* spp. were 9 (10.2%), *Escherichia coli* were 5 (5.6%), *Stenotrophomonas* spp. were 4 (4.5%), Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus Aureus* (MRSA) were 4 (4.5%). The organisms affecting one patient each included *Enterococcus*, *Aspergillus*, *Moraxella*, *Enterobacter* specie, *Proteus mirabilis* and *Burkholderia* specie.

DISCUSSION

Our study shows that the overall frequency of patients admitted with HAP in Medical ICU was 25.4%. The most frequently isolated organism was *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, (30.6%) followed by *Acinetobacter* specie and *Candida albicans* (13.6% each), and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Streptococcus* were 10.2% each.

Patients admitted to the Intensive Care Units have been shown to be at particular risk of acquiring hospital acquired infection with a prevalence rate as high as 30%.

The risk of hospital acquired infection in ICU is 5–10 times more than those acquired in general medical and surgical wards.^[18]

Overall HAP has accounted for approximately 15% of all hospital acquired infections in USA. it is associated with 11% of Hospital acquired infections other than intensive care units and 26% of Hospital acquired infections in the ICU.^[19,20]

The incidence of HAP varies from hospital to hospital. The incidence of HAP in the ICUs ranges from 9 to 24% with variation relating to care presented in the ICUs and differences in the diagnostic techniques used. A large-scale, prevalence study nosocomial pneumonia arising in the ICU was performed as a part of the European prevalence of infection in Intensive Care (EPIC) study. Among a total of more than 10000 patients in 1417 ICUs across Europe the overall HAP prevalence was 9.6%.¹⁸ In another study done in US in 2005 a total of 4,543 patients were analyzed. Among these patients, 835 patients with HAP (18.4%), and 499 patients with VAP (11%) In the HAP group, *S aureus* (47.1%), *Pseudomonas sp* (18.4%), and nongroup *Streptococcus* (13.9%)^[21] while in our study most frequent organism was *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, (30.6%) followed by *Acinetobacter specie*.

In a study done in Beirut in the most commonly identified organism was *Acinetobacter anitratus*, followed by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Klebsiella species*.^[22] Trivedi *et al.*,^[23] reported an incidence of 9.38% of HAP and 38% had ventilator associated pneumonia. Commonest isolates were *pseudomonas* (55%), *Acinetobacter* (20%), *Staph. aureus* (14.5%) and *Klebsiellapneumoniae* (75%).

As far as local data is concerned in a study was done in 2009 in Hyderabad Pakistan and out of 50 patients with nosocomial infections 18% were diagnosed as HAP^[24] while another study found it 30.1%.^[25] Outcome of the study done in CMH Rawalpindi in the year 2005 proved most frequent organisms *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (26%), *Staphylococcus aureus* (20%), *Acinetobacter spp.* (9%)²⁶. Our study by and large shows almost same pattern of organisms and frequency as in international and local studies.

Our study was done in a single center so the data may not be representative of whole population but the age distribution and pattern of organisms have the same trend as previous studies.

Table I: Summary of patients according to the number.

Subdivision of patients admitted in Medical Department	
Total admissions in department of medicine	1866
Total admissions in Medical ICU	346
Total number of patients admitted in medical ICU with lower respiratory tract infection	188
patients fulfilling criteria of HAP in Medical ICU	88
percentage of Medical ICU admissions with HAP	25.4%

CONCLUSION

The frequency of HAP in Medical ICU of our hospital is 88 out of 346 (25.4%). The mortality from HAP is 47.7%. The commonest organism identified was *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (30.6%) followed by *Acinetobacter* and *candida albican* (13.6% each). Our results are in concordance with international and local data. We recommend that further large scale studies are required and the data needs to be validated regularly to rationalize the use of antimicrobial agents.

REFERENCES

1. American Thoracic Society–Infectious Diseases Society of America. Guidelines for the management of adults with hospital-acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2005; 171: 388–416.
2. Anand N, Kollef MH. The alphabet soup of pneumonia: CAP, HAP, HCAP, NHAP, and VAP. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med.*, 2009; 30: 3–9. doi: 10.1055/s-0028-1119803.
3. Agrafiotis M, Siempos II, Ntaidou TK, Falagas ME. Attributable mortality of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a meta-analysis. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.*, 2011; 15: 1154 – 1163. doi: 10.5588/ijtld.10.0498.
4. Melsen WG, Rovers MM, Koeman M, Bonten MJ. Estimating the attributable mortality of ventilator-associated pneumonia from randomized prevention studies. *Crit Care Med.*, 2011; 39: 2736–2742. doi: 10.1186/cc13775.
5. Chastre J, Fagon JY. Ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.*, 2002; 165: 867–903. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.165.7.2105078.
6. Kollef MH, Hamilton CW, Ernst FR. Economic impact of ventilator-associated pneumonia in a large matched cohort. *Infect Cont Hosp Epidemiol*, 2012; 33: 250–256.
7. Vincent JL. Nosocomial Pneumonia. *Indian J Crit Care Med*, 2001; 5: 148–156.
8. Chawla R. Epidemiology, etiology, and diagnosis of hospital-acquired pneumonia and ventilator-associated pneumonia in Asian countries. *Am J Infect Cont*, 2008; 36: S93–S100.

9. Vincent JL, Rello J, Marshall J, Silva E, Anzueto A, Martin CD, et al. International study of the prevalence and outcomes of infection in intensive care units. *JAMA*, 2009; 302(21): 2323–2329. doi: 10.1001/jama.2009.1754.
10. Forel JM, Voillet F, Pulina D, Gacouin A, Perrin G, Barrau K, et al. Ventilator-associated pneumonia and ICU mortality in severe ARDS patients ventilated according to a lung-protective strategy. *Crit Care*, 2012; 16(2): R65. doi: 10.1186/cc11312.
11. Rosenthal VD, Bijie H, Maki DG, Mehta Y, Apisarnthanarak A, Medeiros EA, et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary of 36 countries, for 2004–2009. *Am J Infect Cont.*, 2012; 40(5): 396–407. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2011.05.020.
12. Lee GM, Kleinman K, Soumerai SB, Tse A, Cole D, Fridkin SK, et al. Effect of nonpayment for preventable infections in U.S. hospitals. *N Engl J Med*, 2012; 367: 1428–1437. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsa1202419.
13. Cook DJ, Walter SD, Cook RJ. Incidence of and risk factors for ventilator-associated pneumonia in critically ill patients. *Ann Intern Med*, 1998; 129: 433–440.
14. Bouadma L, Wolff M, Lucet JC. Ventilator-associated pneumonia and its prevention. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 2012; 25: 395–404. doi: 10.1097/QCO.0b013e328355a835.
15. Shah AA, Hasan F, Hameed A. Study on the prevalence of enterobacteriaceae in hospital acquired and community acquired infections. *Pak J Med Res.*, 2002; 41: 1.
16. Henry D Isenberg. *Clinical Microbiology Procedure Handbook*, 2nd ed. Vol. 1 ASM press. Horan T, Gaynes R. Surveillance of nosocomial infections. In: Mayhall C, editor. *Hospital epidemiology and infection control*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2004; 1659–1702.
17. Vincent JL, Bihari DJ, Suter PM, Bruining HA, White J, Nicolas-Chanoin MH, et al. The prevalence of nosocomial infection in intensive care units in Europe. Results of The European Prevalence of Infection in Intensive Care (EPIC) Study. EPIC International Advisory Committee. *JAMA.*, 1995; 274(8): 639–644.
18. Klevens RM, Edwards JR, Richards CL Jr. Estimating health care-associated infections and deaths in U.S. hospitals, 2002. *Public Health Rep*, 2007; 122: 160–166.
19. Tablan OC, Anderson LJ, Besser R, Bridges C, Hajjeh R, CDC; Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Guidelines for preventing health-care— associated pneumonia, 2003: recommendations of CDC and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. *MMWR Recomm Rep*, 2004; 53(RR-3): 1–36.
20. Kollef MH, Shorr A, Tabak YP, Gupta V, Liu LZ, Johannes RS. Epidemiology and outcomes of health-care-associated pneumonia: results from a large US database of culture-positive pneumonia *Chest*, 2005; 128(6): 3854–3862.
21. Kanafani ZA, Kara L, Hayek S, Kanj SS. Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia at a Tertiary-Care Center in a Developing Country: Incidence, Microbiology and Susceptibility Patterns of Isolated Microorganisms. *Infect Cont Hospital Epidemiol*, 2003; 24(11): 864–869.
22. Trivedi TH, Shejale SB, Yeolekar ME. Nosocomial pneumonia in medical intensive care unit. *J Assoc Physicians India*, 2000; 48: 1070–1073.
23. Devrajani BR, Shah SZ, Devrajani T, Qureshi GA. Nosocomial Infections in Medical Ward (Four Months Descriptive Study in a Tertiary Care Hospital). *World J Med Sci*. 2009; 4(1): 13–17.
24. Shaikh JM, Devrajani BR, Shah SZA, Akhund T, Bibi I. Frequency, pattern and etiology of nosocomial infection in intensive care unit: an experience at a tertiary care hospital. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad*, 2008; 20(4): 37–40.
25. Wahid F, Masood N, Jafri A. Nosocomial pneumonia in mechanically ventilated patients. *Pak Armed Forces Med J*. 2005; 55(3): 202–207.