

WORLD JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL AND MEDICAL RESEARCH

www.wjpmr.com

Research Article ISSN 2455-3301 WJPMR

# A SINGLE ITEM MEASURE OF STUDENT STRESSORS AND ITS ASSOCIATIONS WITH WELL – BEING

### \*Andrew P. Smith and Arwel James

Centre for Occupational and Health Psychology, School of Psychology, Cardiff University, 70 Park Place, Cardiff CF10 3AT, U.K.



# \*Corresponding Author: Andrew P. Smith

Centre for Occupational and Health Psychology, School of Psychology, Cardiff University, 70 Park Place, Cardiff CF10 3AT, U K

Article Received on 07/10/2024

Article Revised on 27/10/2024

Article Accepted on 16/11/2024

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** A crucial part of well-being research has examined the associations between well-being outcomes and exposure to stressors. Questionnaires assessing student stressors exist, and the present study aimed to evaluate a single-item measure of student stressors. **Methods:** Using an online survey, eighty-two secondary school students answered questions on well-being and factors related to well-being (psychological capital, negative coping and social support). They also completed seven-item and single-item measures of exposure to stressors. **Results**: The single-item stressor question was significantly correlated with the longer version and well-being outcomes (positively with negative items such as perceived stress; negatively with positive well-being outcomes). Multivariate analyses, including the other established predictors of well-being, showed that significant associations with stressors were restricted mainly to negative well-being outcomes. **Conclusions:** A single-item measure of student stressors was significantly associated with a longer version and showed all the usual associations with wellbeing outcomes.

**KEYWORDS:** Well-being; Stressors; Students; Social support; Negative coping; Psychological capital; Perceived stress; Negative well-being; Positive well-being.

# INTRODUCTION

One approach to research on well-being has been to consider it a process. The Well-being Process Questionnaire<sup>[1,2]</sup> was based on the DRIVE (Demands Resources Individual Effects) stress model.<sup>[3,4]</sup> The Well-being Process model was first used with occupational sample<sup>[5-29]</sup> and then with students.<sup>[30-42]</sup> The DRIVE model initially focused on predictors of mental health, such as demands, support and control, and coping styles. The Well-being Process Questionnaire (WPQ) included more predictor variables (e.g. psychological capital) and positive outcomes (happiness, life satisfaction and positive affect). Recent studies have generally replicated the effects of the established predictors and added new predictor (e.g., workload, work-life balance, flow, and daytime sleepiness) and outcome variables (e.g., flourishing and physical health).

The well-being of university students has also been studied for many years<sup>[43]</sup>, and high levels of depression, anxiety, and stress have been reported in undergraduate students.<sup>[44,45]</sup> Many of the same concepts used in the WPQ, such as demands, resources, coping style, and personality, have also been applied in this research. Other studies have extended the research to secondary

school students.<sup>[46, 47]</sup> Student-related circumstances are frequently referred to in student well-being research, including fear of failing and long hours of study<sup>[43]</sup>, social demands<sup>[44,45,48]</sup> and lack of social support.<sup>[49]</sup> Questionnaires have been developed explicitly for assessing student-specific circumstances that can impact well-being, such as the Inventory of College Students' Recent Life Experiences (ICSRLE), which includes factors such as time pressures, challenges to development, and social mistreatment.<sup>[50]</sup> Research using the ISCRLE has also shown that the variables involved should be acknowledged in managing stress by businesses whose employees may also be students,<sup>[51]</sup> further supporting the necessity of establishing the generalisability of the approach to other areas.

One of the most essential approaches in developing the WPQ was using short scales or single items to assess the various concepts. This allowed the inclusion of many predictors and outcomes. The shortened form of the ICSRLE used seven questions. The main aim of the present study was to use a single student stressors question and examine its associations with well-being outcomes.

#### Ethical committee approval

The Ethical Committee of the School of Psychology, Cardiff University, approved the current study, and all participants consented to volunteer. After the survey was completed, all were debriefed and provided with the details of the researcher and ethics committee should they want to contact us regarding this study. Volunteers were recruited from a secondary school in South Wales.

#### **Participants**

Eighty-two secondary school students (48.2% male; 50% aged 12-13 years, 50% aged 13-14 years) completed the survey.

### MATERIALS

Participants completed an online survey containing questions about well-being and student lifestyle factors. The circumstances items were developed to relate to students' demands and resources and consisted of single-item measures of the 7 ICSRLE factors. These single-item measures are shown below.

#### ICSRLE short (demands)

(Please consider the following elements of student life and indicate to what extent they have been a part of your life over the past 6 months :).

*Challenges to your development* (e.g. essential decisions about your education and future career, dissatisfaction with your written or mathematical ability, struggling to meet your or others' academic standards).

*Time pressures* (e.g., too many things to do simultaneously, schoolwork interruptions, and many responsibilities).

Academic Dissatisfaction (e.g. disliking your studies, finding courses uninteresting, dissatisfaction with school).

*Romantic Problems* (e.g. decisions about intimate relationships, conflicts with boyfriends'/girlfriends' family, disputes with boyfriend/girlfriend).

*Societal Annoyances* (e.g. getting ripped off or cheated in purchasing services, social conflicts, disliking fellow students).

*Social Mistreatment* (e.g. social rejection, loneliness, being taken advantage of).

*Friendship problems* (e.g. conflicts with friends, being let down or disappointed by friends, having your trust betrayed).

The single-item student stressors question was:

"I have had stressful experiences (e.g. time pressure, academic dissatisfaction, loneliness, and friendship problems)."

Rated on a scale from 1=Strongly disagree to 10=Strongly agree.

#### Well-being questions.

The Short-form Well-Being Process Questionnaire (SFWPQ)<sup>[47]</sup> was used. It comprised questions about well-being predictors and measures of well-being outcomes.

# Analysis strategy

Initial correlational analyses examined associations between the total student stressors score and the singleitem measuring stressors. Correlations between these measures and the well-being outcomes were then computed. Finally, a MANOVA, including the other established predictors of well-being, was carried out to determine which outcome variables were significantly associated with the single-item student stressor variable.

# RESULTS

Table 1 shows the correlations between the total stressor score, the single-item stressor score, and the well-being outcomes. The two stressor scores were significantly correlated and associated with the well-being outcomes in the predicted directions (i.e., positively related to negative outcomes and negatively associated with positive outcomes, all p's < 0.001).

Table 1: Correlations (Pearson r) between the student stressor scores and well-being outcom	ies
---	-----

	Single-item stressor question	Total stressor score
Total stressor score	0.48	
Positive well-being	-0.35	-0.36
Negative well-being	0.57	0.44
Perceived stress	0.64	0.55
Life satisfaction	-0.39	-0.32
Anxiety	0.49	0.51
Depression	0.46	0.50

A MANOVA was then carried out, including all the well-being outcomes, the single-item student stressor variable, and the established predictors of well-being. This analysis aimed to identify which associations with the student stressor score remained significant when the established predictors were covaried.

The significant overall predictors were stressors (Wilks Lambda = 0.80 p < 0.05), positive coping (Wilks Lambda = 0.78 p < 0.05), negative coping (Wilks Lambda = 0.76 p < 0.05), psychological capital (Wilks Lambda = 0.77 p < 0.05), and work-life balance (Wilks Lambda = 0.76 p < 0.05). Student stressors were significantly associated

with negative well-being (p < 0.01) and perceived stress (p < 0.005) but not the other outcomes.

# DISCUSSION

The well-being process model includes many predictors of both positive and negative well-being outcomes. These concepts need to be measured using short items to avoid questionnaire fatigue. The present study reduced the measurement of student stressors to a single question. This question significantly correlated with the longer original stressor scale and the well-being outcomes. As in previous research, multivariate analysis showed that student stressors were only significant predictors of negative outcomes when other established predictors were included. Overall, these results confirm that student stressors can be measured using a single question, which allows for the inclusion of other concepts in the wellbeing process questionnaire. Further research is required to determine whether these results are obtained from different age groups (e.g., university students).

# CONCLUSION

An essential part of well-being research involves the examination of the associations between well-being outcomes and exposure to stressors. Questionnaires assessing student stressors exist, and the present study aimed to evaluate a single-item measure of exposure to student stressors. Eighty-two secondary school students completed an online survey involving questions on wellbeing outcomes and predictors of well-being (negative coping, psychological capital and social support). They also completed seven-item and single-item measures of exposure to student stressors. The single-item stressor question was significantly correlated with the longer version and the well-being outcomes (negatively with positive well-being outcomes; positively with negative items such as perceived stress). Multivariate analyses, including the other established predictors of well-being, showed that significant associations with stressors were restricted mainly to negative well-being outcomes. In conclusion, a single-item measure of student stressors was significantly associated with a longer version and showed all the usual associations with well-being outcomes.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Williams G. Researching and developing mental health and well-being assessment tools for supporting employers and employees in Wales. Doctoral dissertation, 2014; Cardiff University.
- Williams GM, Smith AP. A holistic approach to stress and well-being. Part 6: The Well-being Process Questionnaire (WPQ Short Form). Occupational Health (At Work), 2012; 9/1: 29-31. ISSN 1744-2265.
- 3. Mark GM, Smith AP. Stress models: A review and suggested new direction. In: Occupational Health Psychology: European Perspectives on Research, Education and Practice, 2008; 3: 111-144. EA-OHP

series. Edited by J. Houdmont & S. Leka. Nottingham University Press.

- Margrove G, Smith AP. The Demands-Resources-Individual Effects (DRIVE) Model: Past, Present and Future Research Trends. Chapter 2, in "Complexities and Strategies of Occupational Stress in the Dynamic Business World". Edited by Dr Adnam ul Haque. IGI Global, 2022; doi: 10.4018/978-1-6684-3937-1.
- Williams GM, Smith, A.P. Using single-item measures to examine the relationships between work, personality, and well-being in the workplace. Psychology: Special Edition on Positive Psychology, 2016; 7: 753-767. doi: 10.4236/psych.2016.76078 http://file.scirp.org/pdf/PSYCH\_2016060115074176 .pdf.
- Williams G, Thomas K, Smith AP. Stress and Wellbeing of University Staff: an Investigation using the Demands-Resources- Individual Effects (DRIVE) model and Well-being Process Questionnaire (WPQ). Psychology, 2017; 8: 1919-1940. https://doi.org/10.4236/psych.2017.812124.
- Williams G, Pendlebury H, Smith AP. Stress and the Well-being of Nurses: an Investigation using the Demands-Resources- Individual Effects (DRIVE) model and the Well-being Process Questionnaire (WPQ). Advances in Social Science Research Journal, 2021; 8(8): 575-586. doi:10.14738/assrj.88.10782.
- Omosehin O, Smith AP. Adding new variables to the Well-being Process Questionnaire (WPQ) – Further studies of Workers and Students. Journal of Education, Society and Behavioral Science, 2019; 28(3): 1-19, Article no. JESBS. 45535 ISSN: 2456-981X. doi: 10.9734/JESBS/2018/45535.
- Bowen L, Smith AP. Drive better, feel better: predicting well-being and driving behaviour in undergraduate psychology students. Advances in Social Science Research Journal, 2019; 6(2): 302-318. doI:10.14738/assrj.62.6221.).
- Smith AP, Smith HN. Wellbeing at work and the lie scale. Journal of Health and Medical Sciences, 2019; 2(1): 40-51. doi: 10.31014/aior.1994.02.01.18.
- Omosehin O, Smith AP. Nationality, Ethnicity and Well-being. Open Journal of Social Sciences, 2019;
  133-142, http://www.scirp.org/journal/jss ISSN Online: 2327-5960 ISSN Print: 2327-5952. https://doi.org/10.4236/jss.2019.75011.3
- Smith AP. Stress and wellbeing of Nurses: An Update. International Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Science. 2019; 4(6): 1-6. www.ijahss.com.http://www.ijahss.com/Paper/0406 2019/1179495063.pdf.
- Smith AP, James A. 2021. The Well-being of Staff in a Welsh Secondary School before and after a COVID-19 lockdown. Journal of Education, Society and Behavioral Sciences, 2021; 34(4): 1-9. Article number: JESB 69238. doi:10.9734/JESBS/2021/v34i430319.

- Williams G, Pendlebury H, Smith, A.P. Stress and the Well-being of Nurses: an Investigation using the Demands-Resources- Individual Effects (DRIVE) model and the Well-being Process Questionnaire (WPQ). Advances in Social Science Research Journal, 2021; 8(8): 575-586. doi:10.14738/assrj.88.10782.
- Smith AP, James A. The well-being of working mothers before and after a COVID-19 lockdown. Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science, 2021; 34(11): 133-140, 2021; Article no.JESBS.76070 ISSN: 2456-981X doi: 10.9734/JESBS/2021/v34i1130373.
- Smith AP. A holistic approach to the wellbeing of nurses: A combined effects approach. Advances in Social Science Research Journal, 2023; 9 (1): 475-484. doi: 10.14738/assrj.91.11650.
- 17. Smith AP. The well-being and health of university staff. World Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research, 2023; 9 (9): 7-12.
- 18. Smith AP. Diet, other health-related behaviours and the well-being of nurses. European Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research, 2023;10 (9): 53-59.
- 19. Smith AP. The well-being and health of nurses. British Journal of Medical and Health Sciences, 2023; 5(8): 1435-1440.
- 20. Smith AP. Well-being and cognitive failures: A survey of university staff. European Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research, 2023; 10 (10): 119-123.
- 21. Smith AP. Well-being and cognitive failures: A survey of nurses. World Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research, 2023; 9 (11): 20-24.
- 22. Nelson K, Smith AP. Psychosocial work conditions as determinants of well-being in Jamaican police officers: the mediating role of perceived job stress and job satisfaction. Behavioral Sciences, 2024; 14: 1. doi: 10.3390/bs14010001.
- Williams G, Pendlebury H, Thomas K, Smith A. The Student Well-being Process Questionnaire (Student WPQ). Psychology, 2017; 8: 1748-1761. doi: 10.4236/psych.2017.811115.
- 24. Williams GM, Smith AP. A longitudinal study of the well-being of students using the student well-being questionnaire (WPQ). Journal of Education, Society and Behavioral Science, 2018; 24(4): 1-6. doi: 10.9734/JESBS/2018/40105.
- 25. Williams GM, Smith AP. Diagnostic validity of the anxiety and depression questions from the Wellbeing Process Questionnaire. Journal of Clinical and Translational Research, 2018; 4(2): 101-104. doi: 10.18053/jctres.04.201802.001.
- 26. Smith AP, Smith HN, Jelley T. Studying Away Strategies: Well-being and Quality of University Life of International Students in the UK Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science, 2018; 26(4): 1-14. doi: 10.9734/JESBS/2018/43377.
- 27. Omosehin O, Smith AP. Adding new variables to the Well-being Process Questionnaire (WPQ) -

Further studies of Workers and Students. Journal of Education, Society and Behavioral Science, 2019; 28(3): 1-19.doi: 10.9734/JESBS/2018/45535.

- Bowen L, Smith AP. Drive better, feel better: predicting well-being and driving behaviour in undergraduate psychology students. Advances in Social Science Research Journal, 2019; 6(2): 302-318. doI:10.14738/assrj.62.6221.
- Omosehin O, Smith AP. Nationality, Ethnicity and Well-being. Open Journal of Social Sciences, 2019; 7: 133-142. doi.org/10.4236/jss.2019.75011
- Williams G, Pendlebury H, Thomas K, Smith A. The Student Well-being Process Questionnaire (Student WPQ). Psychology, 2017; 8: 1748-1761. doi: 10.4236/psych.2017.811115.
- 31. Williams GM, Smith AP. A longitudinal study of the well-being of students using the student well-being questionnaire (WPQ). Journal of Education, Society and Behavioral Science, 2018; 24(4): 1-6. doi: 10.9734/JESBS/2018/40105.
- 32. Williams GM, Smith AP. Diagnostic validity of the anxiety and depression questions from the Wellbeing Process Questionnaire. Journal of Clinical and Translational Research, 2018; 4(2): 101-104. doi: 10.18053/jctres.04.201802.001.
- 33. Smith AP, Smith HN, Jelley T. Studying Away Strategies: Well-being and Quality of University Life of International Students in the UK Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science, 2018; 26(4): 1-14. doi: 10.9734/JESBS/2018/43377.
- 34. Omosehin O, Smith AP. Adding new variables to the Well-being Process Questionnaire (WPQ) – Further studies of Workers and Students. Journal of Education, Society and Behavioral Science, 2019; 28(3): 1-19.doi: 10.9734/JESBS/2018/45535.
- 35. Bowen L, Smith AP. Drive better, feel better: predicting well-being and driving behaviour in undergraduate psychology students. Advances in Social Science Research Journal, 2019; 6(2): 302-318. doI:10.14738/assrj.62.6221.
- 36. Alharbi E, Smith AP. Studying-away strategies: A three-wave longitudinal study of the well-being of international students in the United Kingdom. The European Educational Researcher, 2019; 2(1): 59-77. doi:10.31757/euer.215.
- 37. Nor NIZ, Smith AP. Psychosocial Characteristics, Training Attitudes and Well-being of Students: A Longitudinal Study. Journal of Education, Society and Behavioral Science, 2019; 29(1): 1-26; doi: 10.9734/JESBS/2019/v29i130100.
- Omosehin O, Smith AP. Nationality, Ethnicity and Well-being. Open Journal of Social Sciences, 2019; 7: 133-142. doi.org/10.4236/jss.2019.75011.
- Howells K, Smith AP. Daytime sleepiness and the well-being and academic attainment of university students. OBM Neurobiology, 2019; 3(3): 1-18. doi:10.21926/obm. Neurobiol.1903032.
- 40. Smith AP, Firman KL. The microstructure of the student Well-being Process Questionnaire. Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science,

2020; 33(1): 76-83. /doi.org/10.9734/jesbs/2020/v33i130196.

- 41. Alheneidi H, Smith AP. Effects of internet use on Well-being and academic attainment of students starting university. International Journal of Humanities Social Sciences and Education (IJHSSE): 2020; 20-34. 7(5): doi.org/10.20431/2349-0381.0705003.
- Smith AP, James A. The well-being of students in a Welsh secondary school before and after a COVID-19 lockdown. Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science, 2021; 34(8): 42-51. doi: 10.9734/JESBS/2021/v34i830350.
- 43. Jones MC, Johnston DW. Distress, stress and coping in first-year student nurses. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 1997; 26(3): 475-482.
- 44. Bayram N, Bilgel N. The prevalence and sociodemographic correlations of depression, anxiety and stress among a group of university students. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 2008; 43(8): 667-672.
- Dahlin M, Joneborg N, Runeson B. Stress and depression among medical students: A crosssectional study. Medical Education, 2005; 39(6): 594-604.
- Smith AP, Garcha J, James, A. The associations between autistic and ADHD traits and well-being of secondary school students in South Wales. Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science, 2023; 36(7): 55-69 doi: 10.9734/JESBS/2023/v36/71236.
- Smith AP, James A. Diet and other health-related behaviours: Associations with the well-being of Secondary School Students. World Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research, 2023; 9(6): 220-228.

https://www.wjpmr.com/home/article\_abstract/4899 ISSN 2455-3301.

- Tully A. Stress, sources of stress and ways of coping among psychiatric nursing students. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing, 2004; 11(1): 43-47.
- Swickert RJ, Rosentreter CJ, Hittner JB, Mushrush JE. Extraversion, social support processes, and stress. Personality and Individual Differences, 2002; 32(5): 877-891.
- Kohn PM, Lafreniere K, Gurevich, M. The inventory of college students' recent life experiences: A decontaminated hassles scale for a special population. Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 1990; 13(6): 619-630.
- Fogaratnam GBP. Balancing the demands of school and work: stress and employed hospitality students. International journal of contemporary hospitality management, 2004; 16: 237-245.